instructor: Thomas Carter

course title: American Vernacular Architecture

institution: University of Utah

date offered: Fall 2006

posted date: June 2008

stable URL: www.vafweb.org/resources/syllabi/carter1.pdf

This document is provided for non-commercial, informational purposes only.
Course Description

Vernacular architecture is generally defined in the United States as being both a “type” of building and a “method” for studying buildings. Referring to a type of building, the adjective vernacular carries the connotation of “common” in the numerical sense—the most routinely encountered buildings of a given time and place. As a method of study, vernacular architecture has become synonymous with an archaeologically-driven approach to artifactual analysis that emphasizes the investigation of building fabric as an indicator of social and cultural meaning. For the purposes of this course, we will follow this bipartite approach and use the term vernacular architecture to refer to both the ordinary buildings within a given community at a particular time and a method for studying them anthropologically.

The course is also divided into two parts. Part I focuses on method, beginning with a definition of the field of vernacular architecture studies and then introducing the three principal steps in the research process: gathering data through field and archival investigation; analyzing the data in terms of time, space, form, function, and technology; and finally, interpreting the data through various theoretical applications. Part II of the course looks at specific vernacular architectural communities. Here lectures and readings identify and interpret specific local, regional, national, international, and finally global vernacular traditions from the United States and Europe. Building communities centered on class, race, gender, religion, ethnicity, and occupation are also treated.

One of the chief aims of the course is to get you to stop thinking (as many architects continue to do) of vernacular architecture as a kind of naïve and unconsidered (ad hoc) response to local environmental conditions. Among historians of all kinds, this simplistic definition has long been abandoned in favor of one that acknowledges culture as the principal determining factor in vernacular design. During the semester we’ll look at a number of studies which demonstrate the designed (planned) nature of the everyday built environment as well as those that explore the myriad ways in which social organization and cultural values are expressed symbolically in the human landscape.

I should say at the outset that this course is not intended as a survey of the various kinds of American vernacular architectures (you might want to take my American Survey/ARCH 6212 if you want a good sampling of such buildings). It is, rather, a research-based excursion into the theories and techniques of vernacular architecture/architectural history study. Along the way I will expect you to actively engage in analyzing and interpreting buildings on your own, using an approach to architectural research that I believe is applicable to situations and contexts that vary greatly in both size and scope (thinking ahead to your own master’s project research).

Good luck.
Requirements

Course grades will be based on your performance on two tests, a term project, a fieldwork training session, and an in-class presentation of your project.

The two exams, each an hour-long in length and covering materials from both lectures and readings, will be given in class on September 27th and December 5th. The first exam deals with material presented during the first five weeks of the course. The second draws principally upon the second part of the semester materials. Each exam counts for a quarter (25%) of your grade (the two tests are equivalent in weight), and you’ll be asked to know some basic terminologies (some of the important building types, technologies, and features), a number of definitions (concepts like type, style, and vernacular architecture), and several short answer/essays (covering the theoretical/interpretive aspects of vernacular architecture study).

The term project constitutes the second half (the other 50%) of your grade. For this work I’ll ask you to document with measured drawings and photographs an example of vernacular building and then interpret it historically and culturally in a short written essay and presentation. There is also a documentation training session that everyone must attend on the morning of September 9. The session should last about 3 hours, from 9am to noon, with the meeting time and place to be announced. In-class presentations of your projects will be given during the week after Thanksgiving, on the 27th and 29th of November. Final projects are due in my office by 5pm on Friday December 1. NB: a complete description of the term project assignment is found on a separate sheet.

Grading

To repeat: each of the exams count equally for 25% of your grade, making the term project more weighted at 50% of the total. The documentation training session is required (if you absolutely cannot make it, please come and talk to me). The field session, like the in-class presentations (both preliminary and final) are not graded per se, but your attendance and contribution will figure in the final project grade. Grading on the tests is pretty straightforward (you get the right answer and you get full credit), but on the term projects I’ll try to be a bit more clear about what I expect.

For the preliminary, midterm pinups, I’m looking for what constitutes a first (rough) draft of your measured drawings. These can be produced by CAD or by hand, but at this stage they can be pretty basic. The idea here is to make sure you’re not putting off the documentation part of the project (which is what the thing is all about), and also these initial sheets should get us started talking about ways to analyze and interpret the buildings. And too, I want to make sure you’re all on the right track before you put too much work into the final sheets.

Year end presentations will take the form of a class report on your collective findings. As the projects come together, I’ll explain this further. Basically, however, I’d like you to begin talking as a class about what your buildings might mean within their community and then organize
yourselves into groups for the final presentation. Again, we’ll talk about the form this will take when we decide on project topics, but a general statement of significance will be helpful when you start writing up your individual essays. Vernacular buildings are more easily read and understood when placed within their social and cultural contexts.

The final project which is due on December 1st will consist of (1) a 24 x 36 inch sheet (I have paper) documenting some aspect of the building you have chosen to study (it may be an elevation and plan, plans only, a section, a series of exterior or interior details, etc.—I can help you decide on what to concentrate on); (2) photographs (the number may vary); and (3) a five-page essay detailing the history of your building, describing its architectural style, and explaining its significance (see above). Your final drawings may be in CAD or done by hand. No ink or mylar.

I will grade both graphic and textual products on (1) timeliness, (2) completeness, (3) precision/execution; and (4) intellectual engagement.

The first is self-explanatory. All projects are due by 5pm on December 1st. Late projects will be penalized one grade for every day they are late.

The second criterion, completeness, simply means you’ll need to finish and submit all three components of the project. Please use endnotes in your essay and no “google” citations allowed. I prefer references in the Chicago Manual of Style format.

The third area of grading pertains to your graphic work and is a factor of taking some pride in the quality of your drawings. We have some conventions (which I’ll give you), and it will be necessary to follow them as closely as possible (north arrows, scales, line weights, and lettering). Remember, too, that it’s not the artwork itself that is being graded, but rather the care with which it is done that is important.

The fourth criterion for evaluation involves your “intellectual engagement” in the project. This is subjective up to a point, but it is rather easy for me to tell whether or not you’ve taken the time to think about the buildings and what they might mean in terms of history and culture. Your engagement will be based on how well (a) you have researched your buildings (have you conducted an exhaustive archival search or simply checked a few references) and (b) your interpretations relate to the themes raised in class and the readings. Obviously, there are no “right” interpretations, just “educated” ones. The object of the course is to get you to start reading buildings for cultural and historical meaning, and this is what I’ll be looking for in your work.

**Required Readings:**


All other readings are on electronic-reserve in the Marriott Library.
Accommodations Policy

Some of the lectures, readings, and presentations in this course may include material that conflicts with the core beliefs of some students. Please review the syllabus carefully to see if the course is one that you are committed to taking. If you have a concern, please discuss it with me at your earliest convenience.

COURSE OUTLINE

PART I: Definitions and Research Methodology

Week 1: Introduction to Vernacular Architecture

Aug 23 W Semester Agenda

Week 2: Rationale and Definitions

Aug 28 M Why Vernacular Architecture?
Aug 30 W Definitions


Week 3: Research I: Gathering Data

Sept 4 M Labor Day (no class)
Sept 6 W Survey and Documentation
Sept 9 Sat Fieldwork Session (9-noon)

Read: Carter and Cromley, Invitation to Vernacular Architecture, Chapter 2: 19-43.

Week 4: Research II: Organizing Data

Sept 11 M Frameworks for Analysis: Time, Space, and Form
Sept 13 W Frameworks for Analysis: Function and Technology

Read: Carter and Cromley, Invitation to Vernacular Architecture, Chapter 3: 45-62.
Week 5: Interpretation

Sept 18  M  Forms of Explanation
Sept 20  W  Case Studies


Week 6: Midterm

Sept 25  M  Summary and Review
Sept 27  W  Exam #1

**Fall Break and Preliminary Project Reviews**

Week 7: Fall Break

Oct 2  M  No Class
Oct 4  W  No Class

Week 8: Preliminary Term Project Reviews (a sign up sheet will be made available).

Feb 23  M  Pin-up Drawings
Feb 25  W  Pin-up Drawings (pass back and talk about exams)
PART II: Vernacular Architectural Communities

Week 9: Communities I

Oct 16 M Community Approach: American Indians

Read all three:


Oct 18 W Regional Traditions in Colonial America

Read both

Cary Carson, “The English House in America,” unpublished manuscript.


Week 10: Communities II

Oct 23 M Early Globalization: British Georgian Architecture

Read

Oct 25 W National Identities

Read


Week 11: Communities III

Oct 30 M Globalization

Read


Nov 1 W No Class (your teacher has a doctor’s excuse)

Week 12: Communities IV

Nov 6 M Race

Read


Nov 8 W Class
Read


Week 13: Communities V

Nov 13 M Gender

Read


Deryck Holdworth, “’I’m a Lumberjack and I’m OK’: The Built Environment and Varied Masculinities in the Industrial Age,” in *Gender, Class, and Shelter: Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture V* (ed. by Elizabeth Collins Cromley and Carter Hudgins (Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 11-25.

Nov 15 W Ethnicities

Read


Thomas Hubka and Judith Kenny, “The Worker’s Cottage in Milwaukee’s Polish Community:

Week 14: Communities VI

Nov 20 M  Religion

Thomas Carter, various articles on the Mormon landscape.

Nov 22 W  Thanksgiving Holiday

Week 15: Class Presentations II (Sign-up Sheet is available)

Nov 27 M  Project Reviews
Nov 29 W  Project Reviews

Week 16: Conclusions

Dec 4 M  Course Summary
Dec 6 W  Exam #2